Disentangling the role of connectivity, environmental filtering, and spatial structure on metacommunity dynamics
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Dispersal is a key process in metacommunity dynamics, allowing the maintenance of diversity in complex community networks. Geographic distance is usually used as a surrogate for connectivity implying that communities that are closely located are considered more prone to exchange individuals than distant communities. However, in some natural systems, organisms may be subjected to directional dispersal (air or water flows, particular landscape configuration), possibly leading close communities to be isolated from each other and distant communities to be connected. Using geographic distance as a proxy for realised connectivity may then yield misleading results regarding the role of dispersal in structuring communities in such systems. Here, we quantified the relative importance of flow connectivity, geographic distance, and environmental gradients to explain polychaete metacommunity structure along the coasts of the Gulf of Lions (northwest Mediterranean Sea). Flow connectivity was estimated by Lagrangian particle dispersal simulations. Our results revealed that this metacommunity is strongly structured by the environment at large spatial scales, and that both flow connectivity and geographic distance play an important role within homogeneous environments at smaller spatial scales. We thus strongly advocate for a wider use of connectivity measures, in addition to geographic distance, to study spatial patterns of biological diversity (e.g. distance decay) and to infer the processes behind these patterns at different spatial scales.

Synthesis

Everything is connected, but connections are seldom accurately quantified. Biological communities are often studied separately, using observations, experiments and models to unravel local dynamics of organisms interacting with each other. However, regional processes such as dispersal through ocean and air circulation, likely to connect distant communities and influence their local dynamics, are not always accounted for, or, at best, used as an homogeneous and distance-related factor. Ocean models have being extensively developed and validated during the past decades with the increasing availability of accurate meteorological data. Using such model outputs, precise quantification of exchange rates of organisms between communities was performed in a marine Mediterranean coastal area. Jointly with local environmental and biological data, these results were used to quantify the effects of realistic connectivity on local and regional polychaete community structure, and revealed that the environmental gradient, geographic distance, and connectivity were responsible for community structure at different spatial scales.

The concept of metacommunity, defined as a set of distinct communities connected by dispersal, has marked a turning point in community ecology in shifting the focus from biological interactions to a more complex vision including environmental filtering, dispersal or random effects (Leibold et al. 2004, Holyoak et al. 2005, Logue et al. 2011). While the initial metacommunity frameworks (i.e. neutrality, patch dynamics, species sorting, and mass effect) were intentionally oversimplified, they helped to build a more process-based large-scale ecology (Leibold et al. 2004, McGill 2010, Massol et al. 2011). For example, the neutral theory, which assumes ecological equivalence between species, has helped defining the role of dispersal and stochastic processes in structuring ecological communities (Bell 2001, Hubbell 2001, Chave 2004). Similarly, niche-based studies recognising ecological differences between species have emphasized the influence of environmental heterogeneity and patch extinction–colonisation dynamics (Tilman 1994, Mouquet and Loreau 2002). It is now accepted that communities are structured by a combination of these
processes to different degrees (Hubbell 2001, Holyoak et al. 2005, Cavender-Bares et al. 2009). The potential of adopting a more integrated approach in which the relative influences of environmental gradients, dispersal, and biological interactions on different types of metacommunities are all quantified is now widely recognised (Logue et al. 2011). In other words, under the current meta-community framework, these mechanisms are not exclusive, but rather complementary (Tuomisto et al. 2003, Cottenie 2005, Ellis et al. 2006, Meynard and Quinn 2008, Drakou et al. 2009, Zhang et al. 2010, Meynard et al. 2011). Therefore, rather than trying to define which is the single most important structuring force, the focus is now on understanding their relative importance, or what proportion of the overall variance in community structure can be explained by each process.

Among the patterns that have been used to explain community composition, community similarity (‘beta diversity’) has been widely documented (Cottenie 2005, Tuomisto and Ruokolainen 2006). In particular, the decrease in community similarity with geographic distance, the so-called ‘distance decay’, has proven to be common to different groups of organisms (Soininen et al. 2007): closely-located communities are generally more similar in terms of species composition than those located further apart (Tuomisto et al. 2003). This pattern is expected if dispersal is an important limiting factor in structuring ecological communities. However, spatial autocorrelation in biological data can also arise from environmental filtering: sites that are located at short distances are more likely to be environmentally similar and thus suitable for the same species (Legendre and Legendre 1998, Lichstein et al. 2002). These two different mechanisms (dispersal and environmental filtering) will therefore produce similar predictions regarding distance decay, and are therefore difficult to separate in empirical studies (Meynard et al. 2011).

It may nevertheless be possible to disentangle the relative roles of dispersal and environmental filtering in particular natural systems where each one of these produces different spatial structures. In many ecological systems, dispersal is likely to be influenced not only by geographic distance but also by its direction. For example, dispersal of seeds in some terrestrial plants (Minami and Azuma 2003) and dispersal of freshwater invertebrates in some aquatic systems (Vanschoenwinkel et al. 2009) are driven by winds, and larval dispersal of marine organisms is influenced by oceanic currents and, to some lesser extent, by larval behaviour (Cowen et al. 2007). In these systems, the directionality of dispersal influences connectivity between communities, which prevents the latter to be fully overlapping with environmental gradients. In such cases, comparing changes in community composition with geographic distance does not account for directional dispersal. Instead, a connectivity measure based on landscape properties (e.g. hydrodynamic, aerodynamic or vector-mediated processes) and the biology of the dispersing organisms should be more relevant to test the relative effects of dispersal and environmental filtering in shaping community structure and composition.

Marine environments are ideal systems in which to implement such analyses. In these systems, connectivity is an important determinant of marine community structure (Kinlan et al. 2005, Watson et al. 2010) and can limit population abundances (Bode et al. 2006, Guizien et al. 2006). Moreover, marine organisms are strongly influenced by asymmetric dispersal (i.e. where connectivity between communities A to B differs from connectivity between B to A, Salomon et al. 2010), and water flows in the ocean create highly complex structures, generating gyres favouring retention in some areas (Hill et al. 1996), or streams favouring long-distance routes (Botsford et al. 2003). In such complex systems, connectivity between communities will thus not be a simple linear, Gaussian or exponential function of geographic distance but, rather, will be influenced by the characteristics (e.g. speed, direction) of the medium transporting organisms (Sammarco and Andrews 1988, Cowen et al. 2000). Although the importance of local biotic and abiotic conditions in the maintenance of marine organisms has been demonstrated (Galeron et al. 2000, Gogina et al. 2010), quantifying the relative effects of realised flow connectivity (effective links between communities and their strengths) versus environmental filtering in the recruitment success of benthic organisms has rarely been achieved (Scheltema 1986, Roughgarden et al. 1988, Caley et al. 1996, Connolly and Roughgarden 1999).

Here, we account for both flow connectivity (hydrodynamically-driven exchange of individuals between different locations) and geographic distance (linear representation of connectivity) to better understand the spatial structure of a marine invertebrate metacommunity along the coastline of the northwest Mediterranean Sea. The data set used consists of 21 transects, perpendicular to the shore, along the coastline of the Gulf of Lions, where geostrophic currents display a typical directional wind-induced regime (Millot 1990). We focused on the polychaetes, which are a highly diverse group, both taxonomically and functionally (McHugh and Fong 2002). In the Gulf of Lions, polychaetes make up a large part of the abundance and biomass of the benthos and are strongly structured along environmental gradients (Grénaire et al. 1998, Labrune et al. 2007). A measure of connectivity that estimates larval dispersal based on ocean current simulations was used as an alternative and more realistic measure of dispersal than that predicted by geographic distance. Note that as we explicitly account for space and the environment, our statistical approach can be related to the variation partitioning strategy outlined and discussed against other approaches in Logue et al. (2011). We tested how much the environmental gradient was structuring community composition and how much of the remaining variation was explained by geographic distance and our measure of flow connectivity. We used the correlation between species turnover (beta diversity) and flow connectivity as an estimation of dispersal limitation in structuring the polychaete metacommunity at different spatial scales. If dispersal is dominant, and spatial autocorrelation is only reflecting dispersal limitations, we would expect beta diversity to be strongly correlated with flow connectivity. On the contrary, if environmental filtering is dominant, and spatial autocorrelation is reflecting environmental structure rather than dispersal limitations, we would expect communities to be strongly correlated with the environment.
Methods

Study area

The Gulf of Lions (Fig. 1) is located in the northwestern part of the Mediterranean Sea, between Cape Creus (Spain) and Cape Croisette (Marseille, France). It is characterised by a large continental shelf, up to 80 km wide at Cape Agde (France), with a mean depth of approximately 76 m. At the boundary of the continental shelf, the shelf break is crossed by submarine canyons. Towards the continent, the local orography plays a key role on the main wind regimes: the Tramontane wind blows from north–northwest between the Pyrenees and the Massif Central (western side of the Gulf), and the Mistral wind blows from the north in the Rhône valley between the Massif Central and the Alps (eastern side of the Gulf). Since the Mediterranean Sea has a microtidal regime (tidal amplitudes lower than 0.5 m), the coastal circulation mainly results from the interaction of these winds at regional scale, river inputs, and a large scale thermo-haline northern current flowing from east to west along the continental shelf break (Millot 1990, Estournel et al. 2003).

Polychaete survey and environmental data

Between 19 and 29 September 1998, sampling was conducted in the Gulf of Lions along the portion of coast between the French–Spanish border and the mouth of the Rhône River to collect benthic samples from soft bottoms. This section of coast is approximately 110 km from south to north and 140 km from west to east (Fig. 1). Twenty-one cross-shore transects were sampled at two to five stations (five stations on 15 transects, four stations on one transect, three stations on three transects, two stations on two transects, 92 stations in total), with similar sampling protocol. At each station, four sediment samples were collected using a 0.1 m² van Veen grab, from which three were taken for benthic macrofauna identification to the species level, and one for granulometric and organic content analysis. Macrofauna samples were immediately sieved with a 1 mm mesh and the fauna retained was fixed in 5% formalin. Samples were then sorted at the laboratory to separate polychaetes from the remaining macrofauna. Identification of polychaete species was done as described in Labrune et al. (2007). Polychaetes were identified to the lowest practical taxonomic level and counted. Unidentified taxa were only counted when they could not be mistaken for other identified taxa and counted as separate species. Sediment granulometry analysis showed that the marine sea floor is composed of several sediment types stretching along the coast, ranging cross-shore from sandy to muddy sediments (Labrune et al. 2007).

Spatial and environmental data analysis

Geographic distance, flow connectivity, and environmental distance between each pair of stations were calculated. Geographic distance between each pair of stations was calculated using latitudinal and longitudinal WGS84 coordinates of each station. Transforming this linear geographic distance matrix to a Gaussian or exponential connectivity matrix was not performed here, contrary to other studies because it would intensify connectivity between close sites and reduce connectivity between distant sites, patterns that are already captured, more accurately, in the flow connectivity matrix. Flow connectivity was assessed using numerical simulations of larval dispersal in the Gulf of Lions including realistic hydrodynamic forcing of 2004. Hydrodynamic simulations (using the SYMPHONIE computer code: Johns et al. 1992, Marsaleix 1993, Estournel et al. 2003) have been validated in a number of studies in this area using in situ and satellite observations (e.g. Rhône river plume, Estournel et al. 1997, Marsaleix et al. 1998, Estournel et al. 2001; northern current inflow, Auclair et al. 2001; wind-induced currents, Estournel et al. 2003).
Dispersal of virtual active larvae released from spawning points situated along the coasts of the Gulf of Lions was calculated using the Lagrangian procedure described in Guizien et al. (2006). Flow connectivity among the sampling stations was quantified by counting the number of virtual larvae coming from the spawning points and reaching areas delimited around the stations, between 5 and 50 m depth, after four weeks of dispersal (Supplementary material Appendix 1 and Fig. A1 for details).

An environmental Euclidian distance matrix was calculated between each pair of stations using field environmental measurements directly related to the sediment: depth, grain size (median particle diameter: 450), total carbon and nitrogen content in sediment, organic carbon in sediment, organic carbon to nitrogen ratio in the sediment. We also used other environmental variables, namely chlorophyll a (chl a) and sea surface temperature (SST), that are known to affect water column properties and thus indirectly sediment properties (e.g. through organic matter enrichment). Estimates of these two variables were derived from MODIS imagery (<http://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/> as seasonal averages for 2006. All these environmental variables were scaled to have a mean equal to 0 and a variance equal to 1 prior to distance calculation.

**Estimating beta diversity**

Similarity between each pair of polychaete communities was assessed using the Simpson beta-diversity index calculated as $\beta_{\text{sim}} = \frac{\min(b, c)}{\min(b, c) + a}$, where $a$ represents the total number of species occurring in both communities, $b$ represents the total number of species that occur in the neighbouring community but not in the focal one, and $c$ represents the total number of species that occur in the focal community but not in the neighbouring one (Koleff et al. 2003). This index focuses on species turnover between sites while standardizing the effects of changes in species richness between these sites (Koleff et al. 2003). It is related to the Jaccard and Sorensen indices, but is less sensitive to sample size, and generally performs better than other beta diversity indices (Koleff et al. 2003, Baselga 2010). We used this index because of large differences in polychaete species richness among the communities sampled, with a few species (e.g. *Ditrypa arietina*) that largely dominated in some places. This index reaches a maximum when the proportion of species shared in two communities ($a$) is small, and when the proportion of species gained and lost when moving from the focal ($b$) to the neighbouring ($c$) community is similar.

**Statistical analysis**

We assessed whether and how similarity in species composition among stations was related to environmental gradients, flow connectivity, and geographic distance. Significance of the Pearson product-moment correlations was computed using Mantel tests (Mantel 1967) and partial Mantel tests (Smouse et al. 1986) using the vegan library (Oksanen 2011) in the statistical package R ver. 2.13.0 (R Development Core Team). These tests used a modified regression analysis between two (Mantel test) or three (partial Mantel tests) matrices. The rows and the columns of the matrices were randomly permuted 10'000 times to calculate the correlation coefficient $r$. Finally, a Monte Carlo simulation method was used to estimate the statistical significance of each test. The Mantel tests were used to assess which component, among the environment, geographic distance and connectivity, was best correlated with beta diversity. The partial Mantel tests were complementary of the Mantel tests to further determine if the effects of one of the components were hidden behind the effects of another. For instance, if the environment is spatially autocorrelated, partialing out geographic distance in a Mantel test using the environment should remove significance of the environment.

**Scale of the analysis**

Depth can be an important factor determining benthic community structure (Garrabou et al. 2002, Wei et al. 2010). All Mantel and partial Mantel tests were thus performed for all stations, and separately for three groups of stations sampled at depths of 1) 10 and 20 m, 2) 30 m, and 3) 40 and 50 m. This division corresponds roughly to sediment layout (bands of similar sediment types stretching along the coastline) and to the four clusters of polychaete assemblages previously defined in Labrune et al. (2007). These bands of stations are relatively homogeneous environmentally, particularly with respect to sediment and depth, allowing us to decouple the effects of environment and both connectivity and geographic distance while controlling for the influence of depth.

**Results**

Both environment and flow connectivity were spatially structured (Fig. 2). When all environmental properties were considered, environmental differences increased with geographic distance, i.e. closer sites were more similar between them than sites located far apart (Fig. 2A). This pattern was reinforced when stations of the same depth were considered (30 m Fig. 2B, and see Fig. A2 for other depths), i.e. environmental similarity among closely located sites was stronger when looking within a depth band. Mantel tests showed that connectivity was not significantly correlated with geographic distance (Table 1, Mantel test). We noted nonetheless a triangular relationship between connectivity and geographic distance (Fig. 2C–D). This reveals that 1) sites far away from each other have weaker chances to be connected, even if they are on the same pathway in terms of hydrodynamics, and that 2) exchange rates of closely located sites can vary from small to large values, likely because of hydrodynamics directionality. Retention (within-community connectivity) reached 4% and occurred for nearly every site during the elapsed time of four week-dispersal, which means that each local community is partly supported by self-recruitment (Fig. A1). Inter-community connectivity rates varied from 0 (absolutely no connectivity) to 3%, and connectivity was greater below the retention diagonal than above, meaning that the flow was predominantly oriented along the coast from east to west (Supplementary material Appendix 1...
Figure 2. Relationships between geographic distance and environmental distance (A): $R^2 = 0.11$, $p < 0.001$; (B): $R^2 = 0.30$, $p < 0.001$ and flow connectivity measured as exchange rates (C, D) for all stations and for stations located at 30 m-depth. The shading of each square is proportional to the density of dots in that square.

Figure 3. Relationships between environmental and community characteristics (number of individuals and number of species, A, B, C) and between the main environmental characteristics (grain size and depth, D). Hump-shaped correlation was found between depth and number of species (A); polynomial model with richness = $-0.023 \times \text{depth}^3 + 1.3 \times \text{depth} + 7.29$, $p(\text{depth}^3) < 0.001$; significant linear correlations were found between grain size and number of individuals (B): $R^2 = 0.17$, $p < 0.001$ and depth (D): $R^2 = 0.37$, $p < 0.001$; no significant correlation was found between grain size and the number of species (C).
Table 1. Correlations of species distance with environment, geographic distance, and connectivity, and correlation of geographic distance with connectivity, for all sites (92) and 30 m-deep sites measured using Mantel and partial Mantel tests. The tests were based on Pearson’s product-moment correlation (10 000 permutations) for species distance matrix against matrices of environmental distance, geographic distance, and connectivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mantel Simpson beta diversity vs all 30 m</th>
<th>Partial Mantel Simpson beta diversity vs all 30 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>0.328 (&lt;0.001) 0.254 (0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic distance</td>
<td>0.023 (0.14) 0.367 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity</td>
<td>0.005 (0.428) 0.192 (0.023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic distance vs connectivity</td>
<td>−0.333 (1) −0.383 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We found a hump-shaped relationship between species richness and depth (p(depth^2) < 0.001, Fig. 3A; see also Labrune et al. 2007), with species richness peaking at 30 m (Fig. 3A). Coarse sediments found in most 10 to 30 m-depth stations also tended to host more individuals (R^2 = 0.17, p < 0.001, Fig. 3B). This abundance effect was driven by one dominant species, Diptera arystina, at these stations, but not by a change in the number of species present (Fig. 3C). As expected, depth and grain size were correlated (R^2 = 0.37, p < 0.001, Fig. 3D): coarse (sandy) sediment dominated in shallow water, whereas the mud content of sediments increased with depth. The environmental gradient was therefore stronger when all stations (thus all depths) were included in the analysis, and weaker when each depth was considered separately, except around the mouth of the Rhône River, due to river particle deposits during flooding events (Marion et al. 2010) allowing muddy sediments to be mixed with sandy sediments at shallow depths (Labrune et al. 2007).

Mantel and partial Mantel tests of the relationships between environmental properties and connectivity and geographic distance revealed the complexity of the spatial organisation of these communities. When all stations were considered, beta diversity was significantly correlated with environmental variations (Table 1, Mantel test). This was confirmed by partial Mantel tests which revealed that, when geographic distance or flow connectivity were taken into account, beta diversity was still significantly correlated to the environment (Table 1, partial Mantel tests). Conversely, when the environment was taken into account, beta diversity was neither significantly correlated with geographic distance nor with flow connectivity (Table 1). This suggests that, at least at this scale, the environment was the most important driver of community composition. When the same analysis was performed within depth bands, results showed that the environment, geographic distance and flow connectivity were all significantly correlated with beta diversity (Table 1 and Fig. 4 for 30 m depth; other depth analyses can be found in the Supplementary material Appendix 1 Table A1 and Fig. A3). When the environment was controlled for in the partial Mantel tests (Table 1), both geographic distance and flow connectivity remained significantly correlated with beta diversity. When geographic distance was partialed out, the environment was no longer correlated with beta diversity. On the contrary, when flow connectivity was partialed out, the environment remained significantly correlated to beta diversity. This suggests that the effect of the environmental filtering on species turnover depends on its spatial structure (e.g. the environment is strongly interrelated to geographic distance), but is independent of connectivity.

**Discussion**

This study provides an example of how the spatial structure of environmental variability can be partially decoupled from connectivity when studying their effects on community composition in dispersive environments. The patterns of spatial structure and connectivity, both when all sites are considered together and when depth bands are separated, reveal an important role of environmental gradients in shaping polychaete communities. Geographic distance per se and flow connectivity appear to be important drivers determining the composition of these communities when patterns of diversity are considered within depth bands, i.e. within more homogeneous environments.

A first important result is that the spatial scale considered influences the most relevant factors determining community structure. We found that alongshore flow connectivity was important when environmental heterogeneity was low, i.e. within depth bands, but that cross-shore environmental gradients were preponderant over connectivity when including all depth bands. This predominant role of the environmental filtering on polychaetes, previously highlighted in empirical studies from Labrune et al. (2007, 2008), suggests that community composition arises partly from species sorting (Holyoak et al. 2005). In marine environments, depth and associated characteristics such as sediment layout and grain size are believed to strongly influence the composition of benthic communities (Garrabou et al. 2002, Labrune et al. 2007, 2008), setting distinct niches for the organisms, hence driving dissimilarities between communities.

Nevertheless, and consistent with our results, recent theoretical and empirical studies have demonstrated that dispersal is also a significant process whose strength can strongly impact community structure (Mouquet et al.
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Patterns of similarity decay with geographic distance
are not sufficient to study the influence of dispersal on
metacommunity structure or dynamics since they can be
linked to each asset of connectivity, environmental similarity
and geographic distance. Decay of similarity is often
examined using geographic distance (Soininen et al. 2007),
as in our analysis, but it has also been found with other
distance measures (e.g. environmental distance, Tuomisto
et al. 2003; stream distance, Maloney and Munguia 2011).

In the Gulf of Lions, we observed that environmental dis-

tance increased with geographic distance, so we used this
environmental distance to perform tests on community

similarity matrices, and found a decay of polycheate com-
munity similarity with increasing environmental distance.

Other studies support this idea (Tuomisto et al. 2003,
Duque et al. 2009, Maloney and Munguia 2011). For

instance, Tuomisto et al. 2003 found an increase in environ-

mental distance with geographic distance and a decay of

similarity both with geographic and environmental dis-
tances. A recent study of Maloney and Munguia (2011) also
reported different rates of decay with both environmental
and geographic distances, suggesting that global decay pat-
terns can emerge from a combination of different factors.

These authors also found different rates of decay for the
different regions they considered. Our analyses provide
similar results, i.e. decay rates varied when different depth
bands were considered. This decay within specific sub-
scales demonstrates that complex community dynamics
need to be investigated not only at large regional scale but
also within specific environments to account for structural
factors of community composition. To refine the results,
analyses including more specific sediment types within
depth bands could be conducted, especially in the region of
the mouth of the Rhône River which displays a complex
sediment layout and where mud is found at shallower
depths than in the rest of the Gulf.

Beyond the particular marine system studied, dispersal
of propagules also occurs for terrestrial organisms, either
through vectors (e.g. vertebrates transporting seeds: Howe
and Smallwood 1982) or through atmospheric (seeds
transported by wind: Nathan et al. 2011) and oceanographic
processes (seed water-dispersal in coastal areas: Brunbjerg
et al. 2012). On land, some of these processes might be
directional, but may probably be more variable due to
smaller inertia in air than in water (Gaylord et al. 2006)
and to the vectors’ behaviour (e.g. plants: Ozinga et al.
2004). In marine ecosystems, dispersal resulting in connc-
tivity between regions can play a major role on community
dynamics because it enables the colonisation of distant
areas by marine species and favours their mixing at large
scales (Caley et al. 1996, Bode et al. 2006, Guizien et al. 2006). However, the exact role of connectivity vs environmental gradients or local environments leading to recruitment success remains difficult to assess because of a temporal decoupling of these two factors: larvae are transported by currents and settle when competent, and only after that their chances of developing into adults will depend on the environmental conditions found on their settling site (Butman 1987). Conversely, currents, if too strong, may prevent some organisms from being transported to a suitable habitat or settlement site (Guizien et al. 2006).

Our study illustrates the importance of another potential caveat concerning connectivity issues in marine environments, which is the lack of accurate estimate of flow connectivity itself. Indeed, empirical studies trying to measure connectivity have only been conducted at small or large spatial scales, for particular species and with specific methods (Incze et al. 2000, Carson et al. 2011, Saenz-Agudelo et al. 2011), but no synthetic connectivity index exists to date. Numerical simulations applied to different areas of the globe have allowed approximations of connectivity patterns for local populations (James et al. 2002, Bode et al. 2006, Marinone et al. 2008, Ayata et al. 2010), but constructing accurate hydrodynamic models coupled to particle dispersal is demanding in terms of their design, parameterisation, and computer resources needed to run them, especially when designed at fine resolution or close to the coast where fine-scale physical processes occur. Here, connectivity was determined using a realistic 3D hydrodynamical model with a simulation resolution and coverage adapted to study dispersal at large scale (Guizien et al. 2006). Because the coupling with a species-specific larval behaviour may not account for the different behaviours and pelagic larval duration (PLD) of all the polychaete species present in the Gulf, we calculated exchange rates using a four-week PLD, which is a good estimate of mean PLD for benthic invertebrates (McEdward 1995). Subsequently incorporating different types of larvae in the model (active, passive, and with different PLD) was not possible in our simulations, but attempts to do so were achieved in Guizien et al. (2012). The difficulty to account for these discrepancies lies in calculating a final connectivity matrix that incorporates appropriate proportions of all larval species, which first implies running separate simulations, species by species. Only this could lead to more realistic estimates of flow connectivity, and consequently, of connectivity effects on metacommunity structure. This could at the same time allow assessing the importance of connectivity on particular species of interest (Treml et al. 2012). Another limitation might come from having used a flow connectivity matrix estimated for 2004 and a one-year regional diversity survey in 1998: coastal circulation and diversity temporal variability may partly blur the correlation between the average connectivity matrix and the diversity survey, even if interannual variability of the average connectivity matrix can be ignored. Moreover, the single year diversity survey may have been partly biased by peculiar connectivity in the years before diversity assessment for short-lived species. It would therefore be beneficial to gather connectivity matrices for different years, especially around the year of diversity surveys.

Metacommunities are structured by a number of processes, in particular by environmental and dispersal filters (Leibold et al. 2004, Holyoak et al. 2005), which ecologists have mostly studied as separate paradigms (Logue et al. 2011). Here, by using a measure of connectivity decoupled from geographic distance, we have shown that these paradigms may be spatially interlocked, leading to a more comprehensive understanding of metacommunity dynamics in this region and allowing to sort out the importance and scale of action of environmental and dispersal processes. Incorporation of connectivity estimates in the analysis, a characteristic that few studies have done to date, rendered the study more realistic concerning the processes occurring in dispersive environments. Environment properties that were used in this study are known to influence polychaete communities (Arvanitidis et al. 2002, Labrune et al. 2007), but other variables may be taken into account in further analysis (e.g. oxygen, phosphate and silicate). Further work and other methods should be implemented to capture more precisely the effects of dispersal only on community structure, and assess in which type of marine ecosystem dispersal is a critical process. The approach presented here could also be applied to other freshwater and terrestrial ecosystems in which connectivity is known, and displaying complex or unusual dispersal patterns (e.g. asymmetric, oriented, gyres), and to other organisms dispersing particles (e.g. seeds for plants). Our results may also be useful for diversity conservation since the design of marine protected areas and terrestrial natural reserves requires a sound understanding of community dynamics, its dispersal patterns and its interaction with habitats to protect, at both local and regional scales (Econo-Meyes 2011). New analyses will be needed to meet these requirements, including elements of mechanistic landscape ecology and statistical analysis of biogeographic patterns within the same framework.
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